

# Problem 1: *Generalizing Perfectness*

Descartes High School  
Team TS6-Descartes

## Abstract

We study  $f$ -perfect integers for various functions  $f$ .

1. With  $f(n) = \tau(n)$  we prove by double implication that for all  $n \geq 1$   $n$  is  $\tau$ -perfect if and only if  $n$  is a square of a prime.  
If  $f(n) = \tau(n) + k$  we prove that if  $k \geq 1$  then there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.
2. With  $f(n) = \varphi(n)$  we show that if  $n = 2^\alpha$  then  $n$  is  $\varphi$ -perfect. The reverse stays open.
3. For  $f(n) = n + a$  we show that if  $n = 2^k P$  with  $P$  a prime and such as  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2ak - 1$  then  $n$  is  $f$ -perfect. For  $f(n) = an$  we show that the integers which are perfect are also  $f$ -perfect. If  $f(n) = a(n + b)$  we show that a integer  $n$  is  $f$ -perfect if  $n = 2^k P$  with  $P$  a prime and such as  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2bk - 1$ .
4. For  $f(n) = \ln(n)$  we show that the integers  $\ln$ -perfect are the products of 2 different prime numbers and the cubes of prime numbers.
5. for  $f(n) = (-1)^n$  we show that  $n$  is  $f$ -perfect if and only if  $n$  is an odd prime or  $n$  is such as  $4p$  with  $p$  a prime.
6. For  $f(n) = \binom{m}{n}$  we show that if  $m - 1$  is prime then  $n = m - 1$  is  $f$ -perfect. We suppose that if  $m - 1$  is not a prime then there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.  
So for the case  $f(n) = \binom{2012}{n}$  we have 2011 which is  $f$ -perfect because it is a prime.
7. For the other functions we have : for  $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $f(n) = a^n$  and  $f(n) = n^a$  we show that there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.
8. For  $f$ -amicable integers : for  $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ;  $f(n) = n^a$ ;  $f(n) = a^n$  with  $a \geq 2$  and  $f(n) = \ln(n)$  we show that there is no  $f$ -amicable pairs. For  $f(n) = (-1)^n$  we show that the  $f$ -amicable pairs are such as  $(p_1, p_2)$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are odd prime integers or such as  $(4p_1, 4p_2)$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  prime integers. For  $f(n) = \tau(n)$  we show that every pair such as  $(p_1^2, p_2^2)$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  prime integer is  $f$ -amicable. For  $f(n) = k$  with  $k \neq 0$  we show that the  $f$ -amicable pairs are such as  $(p_1, p_2)$  with  $(p_1, p_2) \in P^2$ .  
For  $f(n) = a^n$  and  $f(n) = n^a$  we show that there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.

## Preliminaries

### 0.1 Notations

$\mathbb{N} = \{0; 1; 2; 3; \dots\}$	set of natural numbers with 0
$\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2$	real line and real plane
$D(n) = \{d_1; d_2; \dots; d_{\tau(n)}\}$	the set of the divisors of $n$
$\tau(n)$	number of natural divisors of $n$
$\varphi(n)$	Euler's totient function
$\mu(n)$	Moebius function

### 0.2 Definitions

1. Definition of a perfect number:

We say that a integer  $n$  is perfect if:

$$n = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} d$$

Which is, of course, equivalent to:

$$2n = \sum_{d|n} d$$

Euclide, in his famous book *The Elements*, proved that if  $2^k - 1$  is prime then  $2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)$  is a perfect integer. Few centuries later, Euler proved that the reverse was true: if  $n$  is an even perfect number then  $n = 2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)$  with  $2^k - 1$  a prime.

Nowadays, we still ignore if there are odd perfect numbers.

2. Definition of an  $f$ -perfect integer if  $f$  is arithmetic:

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

3. We also call back that  $n$  is  $f$ -deficient if:

$$f(n) > \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

4. And  $n$  is  $f$ -abundant if:

$$f(n) < \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

5. We call back that for all  $n \geq 2$  there is an unique decomposition in prime factors:

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

6. In our report we do not study the case where  $n = 1$  because the sum is not defined in this case and consequently  $n$  is never  $f$ -perfect.

### 0.3 Properties

1. We have

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

2. Consequently we obtain the number of divisors of  $n$  with:

$$\tau(n) = (\alpha_1 + 1)(\alpha_2 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_k + 1)$$

3. Show that if  $m$  is  $f$  and  $g$ -perfect then  $m$  is  $h$ -perfect for  $h(n) = \alpha \cdot f(n) + \beta \cdot g(n)$  with  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

We have:

$$f(m) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d)$$

and

$$g(m) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} g(d)$$

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} h(m) &= \alpha \cdot f(m) + \beta \cdot g(m) = \alpha \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d) + \beta \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} g(d) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} \alpha \cdot f(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} \beta \cdot g(d) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} h(d) \end{aligned}$$

So  $m$  is  $h$ -perfect for any combination of  $f$  and  $g$ .

## 1 $f(n) = \tau(n)$

### 1.1 Show that an integer $n \geq 1$ is $\tau$ -perfect if and only if $n$ is the square of a prime.

#### 1.1.1 Direct way:

Let  $n = p^2$  with  $p$  a prime. We have  $D(n) = \{1, p, p^2\}$ . So  $\tau(n) = 3$

Calculate:

$$\sum_{d|n} d = \tau(1) + \tau(p) + \tau(p^2) = 1 + 2 + 3 = 6 = 2\tau(n)$$

We have effectively  $n$   $f$ -perfect if  $n$  the square of a prime.

#### 1.1.2 Indirect way:

We know that  $n$  is  $\tau$ -perfect and  $D(n) = \{d_1 = 1, d_2, \dots, d_{\tau(n)} = n\}$  and  $\tau(n) \geq 2$  if  $n \geq 2$ .

So:

$$\tau(n) = \tau(1) + \tau(d_2) + \dots + \tau(d_{\tau(n)-1})$$

$$\tau(n) \geq 1 + 2(\tau(n) - 2)$$

$$\tau(n) \leq 3$$

So we have 3 cases to study:

- If  $N = 1$ : we have  $n = 1$ , which give us a contradiction because the sum is not defined.
- If  $\tau(n) = 2$ , we have  $n$  a prime. But:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) = \tau(1) = 1 \neq 2$$

So there is a contradiction; it is impossible.

- If  $\tau(n) = 3$ , we have consequently  $\prod(\alpha_i + 1) = 3$ . The only one possibility is one  $\alpha_i = 2$ . So we have  $n$  the square of a prime  $p$ .

This is checked for  $N = 3$ . So if  $n$  is  $f$ -perfect then  $n$  is the square of a prime.

Consequently we shew by double implication that an integer  $n \geq 1$  is  $\tau$ -perfect if and only if is the square of a prime.

**1.2 This time we have to find all the  $f$ -perfect integers  $n \geq 1$  with  $f(n) = \tau(n) - k$ . Let's try with some values of  $k$ .**

**1.2.1 For  $f(n) = \tau(n) - 1$**

We want :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(n) - 1 &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) - 1 \\ \tau(n) - 1 &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) - (\tau(n) - 1) \\ 2\tau(n) - 2 &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) \end{aligned}$$

Study three cases:

- If  $n$  is divisible by at least three distinct primes :  $n = kp^\alpha q^\beta r^\gamma$  with  $p, q, r$  prime numbers and  $k$  an integer.

We have :  $kqr|n$  ;  $kpr|n$  ;  $kpq|n$  ;  $kp|n$  ;  $kq|n$ . We obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(kqr) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{2} \\ \tau(kpr) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{2} \\ \tau(kpq) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{2} \\ \tau(kp) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{4} \\ \tau(kq) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) \geq 2\tau(n) > 2\tau(n) - 2$  :  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect.

- If  $n = p^\alpha q^\beta$

1. If  $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $\beta \geq 2$ , we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(p^{\alpha-1}q^\beta) &\geq \frac{2\tau(n)}{3} \\ \tau(p^\alpha q^{\beta-1}) &\geq \frac{2\tau(n)}{3} \\ \tau(p^{\alpha-2}q^\beta) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{3} \\ \tau(p^\alpha q^{\beta-2}) &\geq \frac{\tau(n)}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) \geq 2\tau(n) > 2\tau(n) - 2$  :  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect.

2. If  $\beta = 1$  (or  $\alpha = 1$ , without loss of generality),  $n = p^\alpha q$ .

$$D(n) = \{1; p; p^2; \dots; p^\alpha; q; pq; p^2q; \dots; p^\alpha q\}$$

$$f(n) = 2\alpha + 1$$

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d) = 0 + 1 + 2 + \dots + \alpha + 1 + 3 + \dots + 2\alpha - 1$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{2} + 2 \frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{2}$$

$$\text{We want : } \frac{\alpha(3\alpha + 1)}{2} = 2\alpha + 1$$

$$3\alpha^2 + \alpha = 4\alpha + 2$$

$$3\alpha^2 - 3\alpha - 2 = 0$$

$$\text{Calculate } \Delta = 3^2 + 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 33$$

So :  $\alpha = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{33}}{6}$ . There is no entire solution :  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect.

• If  $n = p^\alpha$

$$\text{We want } \tau(n) - 1 = \tau(p) - 1 + \tau(p^2) - 1 + \dots + \tau(p^{\alpha-1}) - 1$$

$$\text{So } \alpha = 0 + 1 + \dots + \alpha - 1$$

$$\text{Hence } \alpha = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \text{ which gives } 1 = \frac{\alpha-1}{2} \text{ then } \alpha = 3.$$

So an integer  $n$  is  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = \tau(n) - 1$  if and only if  $n$  is the cube of a prime number.

### 1.2.2 If $k = 1$

We want:

$$\tau(n) + 1 = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) + 1$$

$$\tau(n) + 1 = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) + \tau(n) - 1$$

$$2 = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d)$$

Study two cases :

• If  $n$  is a prime. We have:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) = \tau(1) = 1$$

So  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect.

- If  $n$  is at least the product of two prime numbers. We have:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) \geq \tau(1) + \tau(p) + \tau(q) = 5$$

So  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = \tau(n) + 1$ .

### 1.2.3 If $k \geq 2$

We want:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(n) + k &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) + k \\ \tau(n) + k &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) + k(\tau(n) - 1) \\ (1 - k)\tau(n) + 2k &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 2k &> (k - 1)\tau(n) \\ 2k &> k\tau(n) - \tau(n) \\ k(\tau(n) - 2) &> -\tau(n) \\ k(\tau(n) - 2) &< \tau(n) \end{aligned}$$

Study two cases

- If  $\tau(n) > 2$  that is to say  $n$  not a prime.

We obtain :

$$k < \frac{\tau(n)}{\tau(n) - 2}$$

Moreover if  $\tau(n) > 2$  then  $\frac{\tau(n)}{\tau(n) - 2} < 2$ . So there is a contradictory because  $k \geq 2$  and we want  $k < \frac{\tau(n)}{\tau(n) - 2}$ .

- If  $n$  is a prime.

We obtain  $\tau(n) = 2$  and  $f(n) = 2 + k$ . Calculate:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \tau(d) + k = \tau(1) + k = 1 + k$$

So  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect.

Consequently  $n$  is not  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = \tau(n) + k$  if  $k \geq 1$ .

## 2 $f(n) = \varphi(n)$

Find all the  $f$ -perfect numbers  $n$  where  $f(n) = \varphi(n)$  is the Euler's totient function.

### 2.1 Preliminaries

- Let  $p$  be a prime.

$$\text{Calculate } \varphi(p) = p^k - p^{(k-1)} = p^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

- Euler is a multiplicative function. Let  $n = \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i}$

Prove Euler's product formula :

Calculate :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) &= \prod_i \varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \\ &= \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \\ &= \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \\ \varphi(n) &= n \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Euler's classical formula is :

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$$

- Let  $p$  be a prime.

$$\varphi(n) = \prod_i (p_i - 1) p_i^{\alpha_i - 1}$$

Yet, if  $p \neq 2$ ,  $(p_i - 1) \equiv 0[2]$ , and if  $p = 2$ , for all  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $p^{\alpha-1} \equiv 0[2]$

So :

$$\forall n \geq 3, \varphi(n) \equiv 0[2]$$

If  $n$  is  $\varphi$ -perfect, then  $n \equiv 0[2]$  and  $2|n$ .

Solve, with Euler's classical formula :

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = 2\varphi(n)$$

$$n = 2n \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$$



$$\prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

Yet  $2|n$ . So :

$$\prod_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

The only one possibility is  $n = 2^\alpha$

$n$  is  $\varphi$ -perfect if and only if  $n = 2^\alpha$ .

### 3 $f(n) = an + b$

**3.1** Prove that if  $k$  is an integer such as  $(2^{k+1} - 2k - 1)$  is a prime then  $2^k(2^{k+1} - 2k - 1)$  is  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = n - 1$

Few notations:

$$P = 2^{k+1} - 2k - 1$$

$$N = 2^k P$$

$$S = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

We know that  $P$  is a prime. Consequently  $D(n) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^k, P, 2P, \dots, 2^k P\}$  and  $\tau(n) = 2(k+1)$ . Show that:

$$N - 1 = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d - 1$$

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d - 1 &= \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d - (\tau(N) - 1) \\ &= (1 + 2 + \dots + 2^k) + (P + 2P + \dots + 2^{k-1}P) - (2k + 1) \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + P(2^k - 1) - 2k - 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 2k - 1 + 2^k P - P - 1 \\ &= P + 2^k P - P - 1 \\ &= N - 1 \\ &= f(N) \end{aligned}$$

So if  $P$  a prime we have effectively  $N$   $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = n - 1$ .

**3.2** Find other similar sufficient conditions of  $f$ -perfectness for other polynomial functions of degree 1

**3.2.1** For  $f(n) = n + a$

Try with some values of  $k$ :

1. If  $a = -2$

Take  $N = 2^k P$ . Try to find conditions on  $P$  in order that  $N$  is  $f$ -perfect. Suppose that  $P$  is a prime.

Try to solve:

$$N - 2 = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d - 2$$

Simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d - 2 &= \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d - 2(2k + 1) \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + (2^k - 1)P - 2(2k + 1) \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^k P - P - 4k - 2 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 4k - 1 - P + 2^k P - 2 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 4k - 1 - P + N - 2 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 4k - 1 - P + f(N) \end{aligned}$$

To have  $N$   $f$ -perfect we need  $2^{k+1} - 4k - 1 - P = 0$

So  $P = 2^{k+1} - 4k - 1$

So if  $N = 2^k P$  with  $P$  a prime and such as  $P = 2^{k+1} - 4k - 1$  then  $N$  is  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = n - 2$ .

2. If  $a = 1$

Take  $N = 2^k P$ . Try to find conditions on  $P$  again in order that  $N$  is  $f$ -perfect. Suppose that  $P$  is prime.

Try to solve:

$$N + 1 = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d + 1$$

Simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d + 1 &= \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d + 2k + 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + (2^k - 1)P + 2k + 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^k P - P + 2k + 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} + 2k - 1 - P + 2^k P + 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} + 2k - 1 - P + N + 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} + 2k - 1 - P + f(N) \end{aligned}$$

To have  $N$   $f$ -perfect we need  $2^{k+1} + 2k - 1 - P = 0$  So  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2k - 1$

So if  $N = 2^k P$  with  $P$  a prime and such as  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2k - 1$  then  $N$  is  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = n + 1$ .

3. Generalization

Take  $N = 2^k P$ . Try to find conditions on  $P$  in order that  $N$  is  $f$ -perfect. Suppose that  $P$  is a prime.

Try to solve:

$$N + a = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d + a$$

Simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d + a &= \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ 1 \leq d < N}} d + (2k + 1)a \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1 + (2^k - 1)P + (2k + 1)a \\ &= 2^{k+1} + 2ak - 1 - P + 2^k P + a \\ &= 2^{k+1} + 2ak - 1 - P + f(N) \end{aligned}$$

To have  $N$   $f$ -perfect we need  $2k + 1 + 2ak - 1 - P = 0$  So  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2ak - 1$

So if  $N = 2^k P$  with  $P$  a prime and such as  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2ak - 1$  then  $N$  is  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = n + a$ .

**3.2.2 If  $f(n) = an$**

Note  $g(n) = n$  the identity function. So we have  $f(n) = a \times g(n)$

Consequently, according to the preliminaries, the integers  $n$  which are  $g$ -perfect are also  $f$ -perfect.

**3.2.3 If  $f(n) = a(n + b)$**

Note  $g(n) = n + b$  So we have  $f(n) = a \times g(n)$  Yet, according to the preliminaries ant the previous question, we have  $N$   $g$ -perfect if  $N = 2^k P$  with  $P$  a prime and such as  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2bk - 1$ .

So  $N$  is also  $f$ -perfect if  $N = 2^k P$  with  $P = 2^{k+1} + 2bk - 1$  and a prime.

## 4 $f(n) = \ln(n)$

Find all the ln-perfect integers.

We look for:

$$\ln(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \ln(d) = \ln \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} d$$

The function  $\ln$  is injective so:

$$n = \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} d$$

Study two different cases.

### 4.1 If $n = p^k$ with $p$ a prime

We have  $D(n) = \{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^k\}$ . So we obtain:

$$\prod_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} d = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} p^m = p^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$$

We look for  $k = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$  that is to say  $\frac{k-1}{2} = 1$  which gives to us a single solution  $k = 3$ .

So we have:

- If  $k = 3$   $n$  is ln-perfect.
- If  $k < 3$   $n$  is ln-deficient.
- If  $k > 3$   $n$  is ln-abundant.

### 4.2 If $n = kpq$ with $p$ and $q$ different prime numbers

- If  $k = 1$

We have  $D(n) = \{1, p, q, n\}$ . So we obtain:

$$n = pq = \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} d$$

So  $n$  is ln-perfect.

- If  $k \neq 1$

We have  $kp | n$  and  $kq | n$ . Then:

$$n < kpq < \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} d$$

So  $n$  is ln-abundant.

Consequently the ln-perfect integers are the product of two different primes and the cubes of primes.

## 5 $f(n) = \omega^n$

Find all the  $f$ -perfect integers with  $\omega = -1$ .

We want:

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

We have  $f(n) = -1$  if  $n$  is odd or  $f(n) = 1$  if  $n$  is even.

Study different cases:

### 5.1 If $n$ is odd.

We have  $f(n) = -1$  and all the divisors of  $n$  are odd. So

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d) = -(\tau(n) - 1)$$

We need  $\tau(n) - 1 = 1$  that is to say  $\tau(n) = 2$ . So  $n$  has to be a prime.

### 5.2 If $n$ is even.

We have  $f(n) = 1$ . We note  $n = 2^k a$  with  $a$  odd. For every divisor of  $n$  odd we have  $k$  even divisors of  $n$ . So we have  $\frac{\tau(n)}{k+1}$  odd divisors of  $n$  and  $\frac{k(\tau(n))}{k+1} - 1$  even divisors (we put away  $n$  itself).

We obtain:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d) = -\frac{\tau(n)}{k+1} + \frac{k(\tau(n))}{k+1} - 1$$

Solve:

$$-\frac{\tau(n)}{k+1} + \frac{k(\tau(n))}{k+1} - 1 = 1$$

$$2\frac{k+1}{k-1} = \tau(n)$$

With  $k$  and  $\tau(n)$  integers.

The only  $k$  which checked this equation are:

- $k = 5$  We obtain  $\tau(n) = 3$  which is impossible because  $k = 5 \implies \tau(n) \geq 6$
- $k = 3$  We obtain  $\tau(n) = 4$  which is possible with  $n = 2^3 = 8$
- $k = 2$  We obtain  $\tau(n) = 6$  which is possible with  $n = 2^2 a$  with  $a$  a odd prime.

Consequently  $n$  is  $f$ -perfect if and only if  $n$  is odd prime or  $n$  is such as  $4p$  with  $p$  a prime.

## 6 $f(n) = \binom{m}{n}$

Let  $f(n) = \binom{m}{n}$ . Find all the  $f$ -perfect integers and study the general case where 2012 is replaced by a integer  $m$ .

Note  $f_m(n) = \binom{m}{n}$ .

If  $m - 1$  is prime, we have:

$$f_m(m-1) = \binom{m}{m-1} = m$$

And:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m-1 \\ 1 \leq d < m-1}} \binom{m}{d} = \binom{m}{1} = m$$

So we have:

- If  $m - 1$  is prime then  $m - 1$  is  $f$ -perfect for  $f(n) = \binom{m}{n}$ . So for the case  $f_{2012}(n) = \binom{2012}{n}$  we have 2011 a prime so it is  $f_{2012}$ -perfect. We conjecture that there is no other  $f$ -perfect number.
- If  $m - 1$  is not a prime then we conjecture that there is no  $f$ -perfect number.

## 7 Other functions

Consider other arithmetic functions  $f$  and find necessary and/or sufficient conditions in order that an integer is  $f$ -perfect.

### 7.1 Let $f(n) = n^a$ with $a \geq 2$

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} d^a &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^a \\ &= n^a \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d \leq n}} \frac{1}{d^a} \\ &\leq n^a \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^a} \\ &< n^a \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\ &< 2 \times n^a \end{aligned}$$

So there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.

### 7.2 Let $f(n) = a^n$ with $a \geq 2$

Calculate:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} a^d \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k = \frac{a^n - 1}{a - 1} \leq a^n - 1 < 2 \times a^n$$

We can observe that if  $a$  is an integer and reasoning in base  $a$ , we have  $f(a) = 10 \cdots 0$  (with  $n$  zeros). And for all  $k$   $f(k) = 10 \cdots 0$  (with  $k$  zeros).

So :

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d) \leq \sum_{i < n} f(i) = \underbrace{1 \cdots 1}_{\text{with } n-1 \text{ one}} < \underbrace{10 \cdots 0}_{\text{with } n \text{ zeros}} = f(n)$$

So there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.

### 7.3 Let $f(n) = \mu(n)$ with $\mu$ the Moebius function

We call back that :

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{If } n \text{ a multiple of a square} \\ -1 & \text{If } n \text{ the product of an odd number of prime number} \\ 1 & \text{If } n \text{ the product of an even number of prime number} \end{cases}$$

Study two cases :

We call back that every divisor with one  $\alpha_i > 1$  has for value 0 by  $\mu$ .



- If  $n$  is such as :

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} p_i^{\alpha_i}$$

So we only keep the divisors which are such as :

$$d = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} p_i^{\gamma_i} \text{ with } \gamma_i \in \{0; 1\}$$

The number of divisors having an even number of prime factors is :

$$\binom{2k}{0} + \binom{2k}{2} + \dots + \binom{2k}{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{p} 1^p 1^{2k-p} = \frac{1}{2} (1+1)^{2k} = 2^{2k-1}$$

The number of divisors having an odd number of prime factors is :

$$\binom{2k}{1} + \binom{2k}{3} + \dots + \binom{2k}{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{p} 1^p 1^{2k-p} = \frac{1}{2} (1+1)^{2k} = 2^{2k-1}$$

So :

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$$

- If  $n$  is such as :

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i^{\alpha_i}$$

So we only keep the divisors which are such as :

$$d = \prod_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i^{\gamma_i} \text{ with } \gamma_i \in \{0; 1\}$$

The number of divisors having an even number of prime factors is :

$$\binom{2k+1}{0} + \binom{2k+1}{2} + \dots + \binom{2k+1}{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{p} 1^p 1^{2k+1-p} = \frac{1}{2} (1+1)^{2k+1} = 2^{2k}$$

The number of divisors having an odd number of prime factors is :

$$\binom{2k+1}{1} + \binom{2k+1}{3} + \dots + \binom{2k+1}{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{p} 1^p 1^{2k+1-p} = \frac{1}{2} (1+1)^{2k+1} = 2^{2k}$$

So :

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$$

Consequently we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  :

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$$

The study of this function becomes largely more easier and we instantly have :

$$\mu(n) = 0 \implies \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \mu(d) = 0 \implies n \text{ } \mu\text{-perfect}$$

$$\mu(n) = -1 \implies \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \mu(d) = 1 \implies n \text{ } \mu\text{-abundant}$$

$$\mu(n) = 1 \implies \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \mu(d) = -1 \implies n \text{ } \mu\text{-deficient}$$

#### 7.4 Let $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$

We have  $n \geq 2$ . Consequently:

$$f(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Moreover 1 is one of the proper divisors of  $n$  and for every  $d | n$   $f(d)$  is positive. So:

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} \frac{1}{d} \geq 1$$

Finally we have :

$$f(n) < \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

So there is no  $f$ -perfect integer.

## 8 Amicable couple

We call back that a couple  $(m; n)$  of integers is said  $f$ -amicable if and if :

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d)$$

and

$$f(m) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d)$$

### 8.1 Preliminaries

- After the definition of a  $f$ -amicable couple we have :

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d) \iff f(m) + f(n) = \sum_{d|m} f(d)$$

We also have :

$$f(m) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 1 \leq d < n}} f(d) \iff f(n) + f(m) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

By combinaison we obtain that if the couple  $(m; n)$  is  $f$ -amicable then :

$$\sum_{d|n} f(d) = \sum_{d|m} f(d)$$

- Show that if  $(a; b)$  is  $f$  and  $g$ -amicable then he is  $h$ -amicable for  $h(n) = \alpha \times f(n) + \beta g(n)$  with  $(\alpha; \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

We have :

$$f(a) = \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} f(d)$$

$$f(b) = \sum_{\substack{d|a \\ 1 \leq d < a}} f(d)$$

$$g(a) = \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} d$$

$$g(b) = \sum_{\substack{d|a \\ 1 \leq d < a}} g(d)$$

Calculate first :

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(a) &= \alpha \times f(a) + \beta \times g(a) \\
 &= \alpha \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} f(d) + \beta \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} g(d) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} \alpha \times f(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} \beta \times g(d) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} \alpha \times g(d) + \beta \times f(d) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{d|b \\ 1 \leq d < b}} h(d)
 \end{aligned}$$

So we effectively have  $(a; b)$  which is  $h$ -amicable for any combinaison of  $g$  and  $f$ .

• Let the next 3 properties :

- $P_1$ :  $f(m) = f(n)$
- $P_2$ :  $m$  and  $n$  are  $f$ -perfect
- $P_3$ :  $(m; n)$  is a  $f$ -amicable couple

We show that if two properties are truth then the third is also checked by the relation :

$$f(m) = \sum_{\substack{d'|n \\ 1 \leq d' < n}} f(d') = f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d)$$

## 8.2 Study now different arithmetic functions

### 8.2.1 Let $f(n) = k \neq 0$

We want :

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d)$$

$$k = (\tau(n) - 1) \times k$$

With the same idea we have :

$$k = (\tau(m) - 1) \times k$$

Hence  $\tau(m) = \tau(n) = 2$

So the  $f$ -amicable couples are such as  $(p_1; p_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$ .

### 8.2.2 Let $f(n) = \tau(n)$

After the first question we know that the  $f$ -perfect integers are such as  $p^2$  with  $p$  a prime. Moreover, the  $f$ -deficient integers are the primes. To have a  $f$ -amicable couple we need that one of the two integers be  $f$ -perfect or  $f$ -deficient. Study two cases

- Suppose  $m$   $f$ -deficient so a prime. We have :

$$\sum_{d|m} \tau(d) = \tau(1) + \tau(m) = 3$$

Hence

$$\sum_{d'|n} \tau(d') = 3$$

We obtain that  $n$  is a prime. So  $(m; n)$  will be a  $\tau$ -amicable couple of  $f$ -deficient integers : it is impossible.

- Suppose  $m$   $f$ -perfect an such as  $m = p^2$  with  $p$  a prime. We have for all  $p$  belonging to  $P$   $\tau(p^2) = 3$ .

So each couple such as  $(p_1^2; p_2^2)$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  primes is  $f$ -perfect.

### 8.2.3 $f(n) = \ln(n)$

We want :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|m} \ln(d) &= \sum_{d'|n} \ln(d') = \ln\left(\prod_{d|m} d\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\prod_{d'|n} d'\right) = \prod_{d|m} d \\ &= \prod_{d'|n} d' \end{aligned}$$

Note :

$$P = \prod_{d|m} d$$

Calculate :

$$P^2 = \prod_{d|m} d \times \prod_{d|m} \frac{m}{d} = \prod_{d|m} m = m^{\tau(m)}$$

Hence  $P = \sqrt{m^{\tau(m)}}$  We want consequently  $\sqrt{m^{\tau(m)}} = \sqrt{n^{\tau(n)}}$ .  $m$  and  $n$  have the same prime factors in their decomposition. Note  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times p_k^{\alpha_k}$  and  $n = p_1^{\beta_1} \times \dots \times p_k^{\beta_k}$  We look for :  $\forall i, \alpha_i \times \tau(m) = \beta_i \times \tau(n)$

Suppose that there is one  $i$  where  $\alpha_i > \beta_i$ . We obtain that  $\tau(m) < \tau(n)$  hence  $\forall i, \alpha_i > \beta_i$ , so  $\tau(m) > \tau(n)$ .

So by the absurde we have a contradiction. So  $m = n$ .

Consequently there is no ln-amicable couple of integers.

### 8.2.4 Let $f(n) = -1^n$

We have for all  $k$   $f(k) \neq 1$  Study two different cases :

- If  $m$  is odd we have  $f(m) = -1$  and all its divisors are odd too.  $m$  is  $f$ -deficient and :

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 1 \leq d < m}} f(d) = -\tau(m) + 1$$

The only solution is consequently  $\tau(m) = 2$  So  $m$  is  $f$ -perfect. So  $n$  has to be also  $f$ -perfect. So we have the couple  $(m; n) \in \mathbb{P}^2$  which is solution if  $n$  and  $m$  are different of 2.

- If  $m$  is even we have :

$$f(m) = 1 = \sum_{\substack{d'|n \\ 1 \leq d' < n}} f(d')$$

So  $n$  has a even divisor and is even itself. Then we have  $f(n) = 1 = f(m)$ . In order to have  $(m; n)$  amicable we need  $n$  and  $m$   $f$ -perfect so such as  $4p$  with  $p$  a prime.

So the  $f$ -amicable couple are such as :

- $(p_1; p_2)$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  odd primes.
- $(4p_1; 4p_2)$  with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  primes.

### 8.2.5 Let the functions $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ $f(n) = a^n$ $f(n) = n^a$ with $a \geq 2$

As seen before all the integers are  $f$ -abundant for those functions.

So there is no  $f$ -amicable couple.

**Conclusion :** We constate that for many of the functions the  $f$ -amicable couples are couples of  $f$ -perfect integers which checked  $f(m) = f(n)$ .