

7. An experiment

ITYM 2012, Team Croatia

June 30, 2012

Abstract

The central question of this task was to study the operator α . We easily found examples for the first three cases and also proved that from existence of one solution follows existence of an infinite number of solutions. In the first part we studied the existence of superbanded sets. In the second part we came up with a different task, similar to the first one. So we took the initial 2-base and turned it into a n -base set (where n is an odd number). We weren't able to prove the existence of l -banded set for each l .

1 Banded n - tuples

Let l be a positive integer. Find the number of banded n -tuples $X = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ in the set $(F_2)^n$ such that the n -tuples $\alpha(X), \dots, \alpha^l(X)$ are banded as well. Start with $l = 1, 2, 3$.

We have found some banded $4l$ -tuples such that $\alpha(X), \dots, \alpha^l(X)$ are banded as well, and we know that if exists on tuple like that, there is an infinite number of them.

4 0011
8 00010111
12 000011010111
16 0000011010111011
20 00000011010110111011

So we have reasons to believe that for every natural number l , it is possible to construct banded $4l$ -tuple, such that $\alpha(X), \dots, \alpha^l(X)$ are banded as well, and that means that there is an infinite number of them.

Proof: Suppose we take a sequence $X = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that $\alpha(X), \dots, \alpha^l(X)$ is banded as well. Let us perform the following operation on the sequence X :

- begin with X ;
- in the n -th step, concatenate X to the sequence obtained in the previous step.

We observe that the property of the initial sequence remains unchanged throughout the process. In this way, we can obtain an infinite number of sequences with the same property.

2 Superbalanced n -tuples

Do there exist superbalanced n -tuples? How many?

Definition **Cycle** X is a sequence that will eventually (after performing several α -operations) repeat itself and be balanced all the way during the process.

And if such a sequence exists, then it will be a superbalanced sequence.

Let us assume that there is a superbalanced n -tuple. The number of different n -tuples is 2^n , so that means that there exists a cycle.

We name one n -tuple of that cycle X , and we know that it is also superbalanced.

Length of the cycle is B ($\alpha^B(X) = X$).

Let X be (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) .

Proposition The sequence $\alpha^{2^k}(X)$ is equal to $(a_1 \oplus a_{[1+2^k]}, \dots, a_n \oplus a_{[n+2^k]})$, where $[i]$ is the residue i modulo n (if $n|i$, then $[i] = n$). Conversely, if $\alpha^m(X)$ is of the form $(a_1 \oplus a_{1+2^k}, \dots, a_n \oplus a_{n+2^k})$, then $m = 2^k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof by induction on k :

- first, we check for $k = 0$:

$$\alpha(X) = (a_1 \oplus a_2, \dots, a_n \oplus a_1),$$

- let us assume that for a non-negative integer k , $\alpha^{2^k}(X)$ is equal to $(a_1 \oplus a_{[1+2^k]}, \dots, a_n \oplus a_{[n+2^k]})$.
- we now use the above assumption. Define $b_m = a_m \oplus a_{[m+2^k]}$. Now rewrite the above sequence as $Y = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, and we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2^k}(Y) &= (b_1 \oplus b_{[1+2^k]}, \dots, b_n \oplus b_{[n+2^k]}) \\ &= \alpha^{2^{k+1}}(X) \\ &= (a_1 \oplus a_{[1+2^k]} \oplus a_{[1+2^k]} \oplus a_{[1+2^{k+1}]}, \dots, a_n \oplus a_{[n+2^k]} \oplus a_{[n+2^k]} \oplus a_{[n+2^{k+1}]}) \\ &= (a_1 \oplus a_{[1+2^{k+1}]}, \dots, a_n \oplus a_{[n+2^{k+1}]}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, n can't be 2^k due to the fact that after 2^k changes we get a sequence of 0's.

After B changes we have (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) . After one more change ($B + 1$ altogether) we have $(a_1 \oplus a_2, a_2 \oplus a_3, \dots, a_n \oplus a_1)$. And after one more change (altogether $B+2$) we have $(a_1 \oplus a_3, \dots, a_n \oplus a_2)$.

We see that $B+1 = 2^k$, and $B+2 = 2^m \Rightarrow 2^k \cdot (2^{m-k}-1) = 1$, so we conclude that $k = 0$, $m = 1$ and $B = 0$.

Therefore the cycle doesn't exist, and there are no superbalanced n -tuples.

3 n -tuples of residues mod p

We considered an n -tuple $X=(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are elements of the set of residues modulo p , for odd p . For X to be balanced, necessary conditions are:

- (i) length n of X must be $k \cdot p$
- (ii) X must contain equal number of all residues.

Proposition If X is of the form $(0, 1, \dots, p-1)$ or $(0, 1, \dots, p-1, 0, 1, \dots, p-1, 0, 1, \dots, p-1)$, then X is superbalanced.

Sketch of proof It is easy to prove using induction that after 2^k changes, two numbers in X that are next to each other differ by residue 2^k modulo p .

In other words $a_{[i+1]} = a_{[i]} \oplus 2^k$ ($[i]$ is residue i modulo n where n is the length of X . Also, if $n|i$ then $[i] = n$).

Because $\gcd(2^k, p) = 1$, all residues will appear equal number of times.