

ITYM2010

Team : France3

Problem 3: A Cyclic Inequality

The problem is divided in four parts. We start with proving that $a \geq k - 1$ is a sufficient condition to have the inequality $C_{k,a}(x) \geq A(x)$ for any positive n -tuple x . It will be proved by an induction reasoning. Then, we study what happens when $a \in (0, \frac{k-1}{k+1})$. We show that there exist n -tuples x and y such that $C_{k,a}(x) > A(x)$ and $C_{k,a}(y) < A(y)$. Next we turn back to the condition $a \geq k - 1$ and show it is also a necessary condition for the cyclic inequality. For this we study the asymptotic behaviour of the difference $C_{k,a}(x) - A(x)$ when n tends to infinity. As for the last question, we note that $C_{k,l,a}(x) = C_{k,1,a}(y)$ if $y_i = x_i^l$. This enables us to prove that, if $a \geq \frac{k}{l} - 1$, the inequality $C_{k,l,a}(x) \geq A^l(x)$ holds, thanks to the first question and the Jensen's inequality.

Question 1. Show that, if $a \geq k - 1$, then $C_{k,a}(x) \geq A(x)$ for any n -tuple $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of positive numbers.

Proof. Let us name the proposition:

The inequality $C_{k,a}(x) \geq A(x)$ holds for any $a \geq k - 1$ and any positive n -tuple x .

by proposition \mathcal{C}_n . We use an inductive reasoning on n (where n is the size of the n -tuple) to prove the proposition \mathcal{C}_n .

- For $n = 1$ we have $C_{k,a}(x) = A(x)$ for any positive n -tuple x and $a > 0$. The proposition \mathcal{C}_1 is true.
- Suppose that, for a $n \geq 1$, the proposition \mathcal{C}_n is true.
- Let us prove now that the proposition \mathcal{C}_{n+1} is also true. Consider any positive $(n + 1)$ -tuples $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}$ and its reduction to n -tuple $z = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We introduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i &= \frac{(1+a)x_i^k}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} = \frac{(1+a)}{1+a\left(\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}\right)^k}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ \mu_n &= \frac{(1+a)x_n^k}{x_n^k + ax_1^k} = \frac{(1+a)}{1+a\left(\frac{x_1}{x_n}\right)^k}, \\ \lambda_i &= \frac{(1+a)x_i^k}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} = \frac{1+a}{1+a\left(\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}\right)^k}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1, x_{n+2} = x_1\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $C_{k,a}(z) \geq A(z)$ if $a \geq k - 1$. We write the equality

$$(n+1)C_{k,a}(x) - (n+1)A(x) = nC_{k,a}(z) - nA(z) + (\lambda_n - \mu_n)x_n + (\lambda_{n+1} - 1)x_{n+1}$$

So, the proposition \mathcal{C}_{n+1} will be proved, if we prove that

$$(*) : (\lambda_n - \mu_n)x_n + (\lambda_{n+1} - 1)x_{n+1} \geq 0$$

whenever $a \geq k - 1$. Introducing

$$\tau_1 = \frac{x_1}{x_{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2 = \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}$$

we can prove that the above inequality(*) is equivalent to

$$a^2(\tau_1^k(1 - \tau_2^{k+1})) + a(\tau_2^k(1 - \tau_2)(1 + \tau_1^k)) - \tau_2^{k+1}(1 - \tau_2^{k-1}) \geq 0$$

Now let us call an $(n + 1)$ -tuple $y = (y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$ a translation of $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$, if $y_i = x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $y_{n+1} = x_1$. It is clear that the quantities $C_{k,a}(x)$ and $A(x)$ are invariant with respect to the translations.

We can therefore suppose, without loss of generality, that $x_{n+1} = \max_i x_i$. Under this condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &:= \tau_1^k(1 - \tau_2^{k+1}) \geq 0 \\ N &:= \tau_2^k(1 - \tau_2)(1 + \tau_1^k) \geq 0 \\ P &:= \tau_2^{k+1}(1 - \tau_2^{k-1}) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We consider the trinom $a^2M + aN - P$. The discriminant of this trinom is

$$\Delta = N^2 + 4MP \geq 0$$

We compute its roots

$$\begin{aligned} a_- &= \frac{-N - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2M} \\ a_+ &= \frac{-N + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2M} \end{aligned}$$

We check that a_+ has another expression

$$a_+ = \frac{2P}{N + \sqrt{\Delta}}$$

We note that $a^2M + aN - P \geq 0$ whenever $a_+ \leq a$. Therefore, the proposition \mathcal{C}_{n+1} will be proved, if $a_+ \leq k - 1$. But then,

$$\begin{aligned} a_+ &= \frac{2P}{N + \sqrt{\Delta}} \\ &\leq \frac{2P}{2N} \\ &= \frac{\tau_2^{k+1}(1 - \tau_2^{k-1})}{\tau_2^k(1 - \tau_2)(1 + \tau_1^k)} \\ &\leq \frac{\tau_2(1 - \tau_2^{k-1})}{(1 - \tau_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function $g(t) := \frac{t(1-t^{k-1})}{(1-t)}$ for $0 < t < 1$. The derivative of g is:

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &= \frac{(1-kt^{k-1})(1-t) + (t-t^k)}{(1-t)^2} \\ &= \frac{(1-t^k) - kt^{k-1}(1-t)}{(1-t)^2} \\ &= \frac{k\alpha^{k-1}(1-t) - kt^{k-1}(1-t)}{(1-t)^2} \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha \in (t, 1)$. This shows that $g'(t) \geq 0$ and therefore

$$g(t) \leq \lim_{s \uparrow 1} g(s) = k - 1.$$

We prove thus $a_+ \leq k - 1$, and consequently the proposition \mathcal{C}_{n+1} is proved.

The Question 1. is proved.

Question 2. Prove that, if $n > 1$ and $0 < a < \frac{k-1}{k+1}$, there exists two n -tuples $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,a}(x) &> A(x) \\ C_{k,a}(y) &< A(y) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The idea here is to consider a particular kind of n -tuple $x^{[b,c]} = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{n-1} = b > 0$ and $x_n = c > 0$, and to make vary the value b with respect to a . For such an n -tuple,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,a}(x^{[b,c]}) &= \frac{1+a}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{x_i^{k+1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} + \frac{x_{n-1}^{k+1}}{x_{n-1}^k + ax_n^k} + \frac{x_n^{k+1}}{x_n^k + ax_1^k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1+a}{n} \left[(n-2) \frac{b}{1+a} + \frac{b^{k+1}}{b^k + ac^k} + \frac{c^{k+1}}{c^k + ab^k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[(n-2)b + \frac{1+a}{1+a(\frac{c}{b})^k} b + \frac{1+a}{1+a(\frac{b}{c})^k} c \right] \\ A(x^{[b,c]}) &= \frac{1}{n} ((n-1)b + c) \end{aligned}$$

Set $r = \frac{b}{c}$ and suppose $r < 1$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,a}(x^{[b,c]}) - A(x^{[b,c]}) &= \frac{1}{n} \left[-b + \frac{1+a}{1+a(\frac{c}{b})^k} b + \frac{1+a}{1+a(\frac{b}{c})^k} c - c \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} \left[-r + \frac{1+a}{1+a(\frac{1}{r})^k} r + \frac{1+a}{1+ar^k} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} a \left[r \frac{1-\frac{1}{r^k}}{1+a\frac{1}{r^k}} + \frac{1-r^k}{1+ar^k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} a \left[r \frac{r^k-1}{r^k+a} + \frac{1-r^k}{1+ar^k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} a (1-r^k) \left[-\frac{r}{r^k+a} + \frac{1}{1+ar^k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} a (1-r^k) \frac{(r^k+a)-r(1+ar^k)}{(r^k+a)(1+ar^k)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} a (1-r^k) \frac{a(1-r^{k+1})-r(1-r^{k-1})}{(r^k+a)(1+ar^k)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{c} a \frac{(1-r^k)(1-r^{k+1})}{(r^k+a)(1+ar^k)} \left(a - \frac{r(1-r^{k-1})}{(1-r^{k+1})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function

$$f(r) := \frac{r(1-r^{k-1})}{(1-r^{k+1})}, \quad r \in (0, 1)$$

We see that, for $0 < r < 1$, $C_{k,a}(x^{[r,1]}) - A(x^{[r,1]}) > 0$, if and only if $a > f(r)$. As a consequence, the Question 2. is solved whenever we show that neither of the two subsets $\{0 < r < 1; f(r) < a\}$ and $\{0 < r < 1; f(r) > a\}$ are empty.

The function f has two limits: (using Hospital rule)

$$f(0+) = 0, \quad f(1-) = \frac{k-1}{k+1}.$$

It is continuously differentiable on $(0, 1)$. We have

$$f'(r) = \frac{1 - kr^{k-1} + kr^{k+1} - r^{2k}}{(1 - r^{k+1})^2}$$

Set $u(r) := 1 - kr^{k-1} + kr^{k+1} - r^{2k}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u'(r) &= -k(k-1)r^{k-2} + k(k+1)r^k - 2kr^{2k-1} \\ &= kr^{k-2}(-(k-1) + (k+1)r^2 - 2r^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Set $v(r) := -(k-1) + (k+1)r^2 - 2r^{k+1}$. We have

$$v'(r) = 2(k+1)r - 2(k+1)r^k = 2(k+1)r(1 - r^{k-1}) > 0.$$

Therefore, for $0 < r < 1$, $v(r) < v(1) = 0$. It follows that $u'(r) < 0$ so that $u(r) > u(1) = 0$, $0 < r < 1$. This implies that the derivative $f'(r)$ is strictly positive and the function f is strictly increasing. In other words, f is a one-to-one map from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \frac{k-1}{k+1})$. Now, a being a point in $(0, \frac{k-1}{k+1})$, neither of the two subsets $\{0 < r < 1; f(r) < a\}$ and $\{0 < r < 1; f(r) > a\}$ can be empty. The Question 2. is solved. ■

Question 3. In the Question 1., we have proved that the condition $a \geq k-1$ is a sufficient condition to have the cyclic inequality

$$C_{k,a}(x) \geq A(x)$$

where x runs over the set of all n -tuple of positive numbers. We will prove in this section that $a \geq k-1$ is also a necessary condition for the cyclic inequality to hold.

Proof. The idea is to consider the sequence of differences on n -tuples $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$:

$$D_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1+a)x_i^{k+1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = a \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^k - x_{i+1}^k}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i,$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $x_{n+1} = x_1$. This is because the assertion that $C_{k,a}(x) \geq A(x)$ for an n -tuple x is equivalent to say that $D_n \geq 0$. Concretely, we will consider the sequence (D_n) with the particular n -tuples: $x_i = \frac{i}{n}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and we will

show that the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n$ can be strictly negative, if $a < k - 1$. This gives a proof of our assertion.

Let us compute first the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n$. Applying the mean value theorem, we find $\alpha_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ such that

$$x_i^k - x_{i+1}^k = k\alpha_i^{k-1}(x_i - x_{i+1}) = -\frac{k}{n}\alpha_i^{k-1}$$

We can write

$$D_n = -a \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\frac{k}{n}\alpha_i^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i + a \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{n^k})}{1^k + a\frac{1}{n^k}}$$

Let $1 < m < n - 1$. Introduce

$$A_n := \frac{ak}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i, \quad B_n := \frac{ak}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i$$

We compare B_n with $\frac{n-1-m}{n} \frac{ak}{1+a}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B_n - \frac{n-1-m}{n} \frac{ak}{1+a} \right| \\ &= \frac{ak}{n} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_i^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i - \frac{1}{1+a} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{ak}{n(1+a)} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i^{k-1} x_i (1+a) - (x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k)}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{ak}{n(1+a)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{(\alpha_i^{k-1} - x_i^{k-1}) x_i + a(\alpha_i^{k-1} x_i - x_{i+1}^k)}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{ak}{n(1+a)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{(x_{i+1}^{k-1} - x_i^{k-1}) x_i + a(x_{i+1}^k - x_i^k)}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} \\ &\leq \frac{ak}{n(1+a)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{k-1+ak}{n} \frac{x_{i+1}^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} \\ &\leq \frac{ak}{n(1+a)} (n-1-m) \frac{k-1+ak}{n} \frac{1}{1+a} \frac{1}{(\frac{m}{n})^k} \\ &\leq \frac{ak}{(1+a)^2} \frac{k-1+ak}{(\frac{m}{n})^k} \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate A_n :

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n| &= \left| \frac{ak}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\alpha_i^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i \right| \\ &\leq \frac{ak}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{x_{i+1}^k}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} \\ &\leq \frac{km}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Putting together these estimations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| A_n + B_n - \frac{ak}{1+a} \right| \\ &\leq |A_n| + \left| B_n - \frac{n-1-m}{n} \frac{ak}{1+a} \right| + \frac{m+1}{n} \frac{ak}{1+a} \\ &\leq \frac{km}{n} + \frac{ak}{(1+a)^2} \frac{k-1+ak}{(\frac{m}{n})^k} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{m+1}{n} \frac{ak}{1+a} \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < c < 1$ and $m = [c \cdot n] + 1$, so that $c \leq \frac{m}{n} \leq c + \frac{1}{n}$. Incorporating c into the above estimation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| A_n + B_n - \frac{ak}{1+a} \right| &\leq k\left(c + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{ak}{(1+a)^2} \frac{k-1+ak}{c^k} \frac{1}{n} + \left(c + \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{ak}{1+a} \\ &= c\left(k + \frac{ak}{1+a}\right) + \frac{1}{n}\left(k + \frac{ak}{(1+a)^2} \frac{k-1+ak}{c^k} + 2\frac{ak}{1+a}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any positive number. Choose c such that $c\left(k + \frac{ak}{1+a}\right) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Choose next n_0 such that, for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(k + \frac{ak}{(1+a)^2} \frac{k-1+ak}{c^k} + 2\frac{ak}{1+a}\right) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

We see then, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\left| a \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\frac{k}{n} \alpha_i^{k-1}}{x_i^k + ax_{i+1}^k} x_i - \frac{ak}{1+a} \right| = \left| A_n + B_n - \frac{ak}{1+a} \right| < \epsilon.$$

This means

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = -\frac{ak}{1+a} + a.$$

Now, if $a < k - 1$, $-\frac{ak}{1+a} + a = \frac{a}{1+a}((1+a) - k) < 0$. There will exist a n such that $D_n < 0$. The Question 3. is proved. ■

Question 4. Find a_0 the smallest $a > 0$ such that the inequality

$$C_{k,l,a}(x) \geq A^l(x)$$

holds for any n -tuple of positive real numbers.

Proof. Let $x = (x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be any n -tuple of positive real numbers. We introduce $y_i = x_i^l$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We check immediately the equality

$$C_{k,l,a}(x) = C_{\frac{k}{l},1,a}(y)$$

So, if $a \geq \frac{k}{l} - 1$, according to Question 1., using Jensen's inequality, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,l,a}(x) &= C_{\frac{k}{l},1,a}(y) \\ &\geq A(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^l \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^l \\ &= A^l(x) \end{aligned}$$

This means that $a_0 \leq \frac{k}{l} - 1$. ■